

JOHN CARROLL UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL POLICIES

Angela Krueger, Steve Herbert, Todd Bruce, Ed Mish, Maria Marsilli, Rebecca Drenovsky, Chris Sheil, Rick Greci, Jim Krukones, Michelle Millet, Maryclaire Moroney, Lisa Brown Cornelius, Zeki Saritoprak, Walter Simmons, Charles Stehlik, Zachary Rhodes, and Madi Daube

November 4, 2020
9:00am, Zoom Meeting

NOTES

Present: A. Krueger, Z. Saritoprak, M. Marsilli, E. Mish, C. Stehlik, R. Drenovsky, M. Moroney, M. Daube, L. Brown Cornelius, J. Krukones, W. Simmons, T. Bruce, C. Sheil, R. Greci. Guest: K. Danton

A. Krueger welcomed the group and introduced new committee members Madi Daube (Undergraduate student representative), Maria Marsilli (College of Arts and Sciences representative), and Zachary Rhodes (Enrollment representative).

The major declaration and internal transfer policy was sent to CAP and will go to the full faculty for discussion and voting.

The minutes from the October 7, 2020 meeting were approved.

A. Krueger welcomed Kenneth Danton from the Office of Legal Affairs, who is marshalling revisions to the academic integrity appeals process. K. Danton provided a high-level background overview of the current policy and stated that it lacks important details and has not kept pace with changes in programs. He conducted benchmarking against other Jesuit and Ohio private schools and developed new policy language based on his findings. The new policy moves away from utilizing a faculty committee selection model, as it was envisioned as a purely random panel, which made finding faculty volunteers difficult. The revised policy now encompasses professional standards, such as student teaching and off-site placements as required by the Education and Counseling departments. R. Drenovsky pointed out that some of these standards are also related to accreditation guidelines. M. Marsilli recommended distinguishing between the Dean and Associate Dean roles throughout the policy. A. Krueger wondered what the new process would look like if the instructor also happens to be the Department Chair or Dean. K. Danton pointed to step 2 as a means of procedure but will also include that as an example in the policy language. It was then suggested to make the pool of faculty the same as those on the faculty grievance committee. This would be an administratively simple solution as the pool is already established and the scope of work would be similar. C. Sheil wondered if it would be beneficial to expand the size of the current faculty grievance pool, as this would be adding additional work. K. Danton clarified that the appeals process is only leveraged approximately once or twice per year. However, with a better defined process, it may be used slightly more often going forward. Regardless, he does not anticipate it to be a serious time commitment. K. Danton appreciated UCEP's feedback and will make edits to the process before bringing it back to the committee. Once it receives UCEP's approval, the policy will be sent to Faculty Council for review with the recommendation of expanding the grievance pool.

No new business was raised.

The meeting concluded at 9:50 am.

Notes recorded by S. Payne